

DOPR Renewal School Application Rubric2023-2024

Name of Pr	oposed School
Reviewer N	ame(s):
Date Review	weq.
	1041
	Instructions for Review Team
This templa	te guides reviewers through the quality review of the community school applications consistent with ORC 3314, St. Aloysius sponsoring priorities and the sponsor evaluation system.
Follow the	steps below to complete your assigned section(s) of the application rubric:
Notes:	 The language used in the rubric is the same language used in the application. This allows reviewers to see exactly what was requested. The scores for each section will carry over to the score page (last worksheet). The score page cannot be edited. Please check that your scores carried over correctly. If there are any errors, please notify the Legal Department. This primarily applies to the Lead Rubric.
1.	Complete the top of this page with school name and your first and last name for individual rubrics.
2.	Review your assigned section(s) of the application. Complete the corresponding rubric section(s). Scoring criteria is provided for each question. • If a section meets each selection criterion, it will receive a score of Meets and a strength must be entered. • If any section receives a score of Partially Meets or Does Not Meet, comments and/or questions must be entered. • Some sections do not require a score, but please enter any questions, concerns or strengths you see.
3.	Lead reviewers for each section, schedule a team meeting to calibrate each section for your team, making notes and comments to provide to the Legal Department.
4.	During the team meeting reviewers discuss and come to a consensus score. Team Lead enters these scores on the Lead Rubric.

GENERAL APPLICATION QUESTIONS

Partially Meets

Explain how the Governing Authority evaluated the school's performance prior to the COVID-19 pandemic that began March 2020 and provide any actions the Governing Authority took based on the evaluations. Reference all of the resources, assessments, evaluations, and reports mentioned above that were available to the Governing Authority and how the Governing Authority utilized this information to evaluate whether the school was effective and efficient.

Meets

Score

Evaluation Prior to Pandemic

Does Not Meet

	The state of the s		
• 0 - 1 Actions were provided.	• 3 - 4 Actions were provided.	Actions were provided. • 4 or more Actions were provided.	
• 0 - 2 Resources were reviewed.	• 3 - 4 Resources were reviewed.	• 5 or more Resources were reviewed.	
Governing Authority did not explain how the	 Governing Authority utilized some of 	 Governing Authority used all 	
information was utilized to evaluate whether the	the information provided to them and	information provided to them and	
school was effective and efficient.	evaluated whether the school was	evaluated whether the school was	
Governing Authority displayed a limited	effective and efficient.	effective and efficient.	
understanding of the school's performance.	 Governing Authority displayed a 	 Governing Authority displayed a 	
	moderate understanding of the school's	comprehensive understanding of the	
	performance.	school's performance.	
Response from			
Application			
Strengths Noted			
Challenges Noted			

	Explain how the Governing Authority evaluated the school's performance during the COVID-19 pandemic between March 2020 and June 2021 and provide any actions the Governing Authority took based on the evaluations. Reference all of the resources, assessments, evaluations, and reports mentioned above that were available to the Governing Authority and how the Governing Authority utilized this information to evaluate whether the school was effective and efficient.							
	Does Not N 0 Point		Partially Meets 5 Points	Meets 10 Points	Score			
Evaluation During the Pandemic	0 - 1 Actions were provided 0 - 2 Resources were review Governing Authority did no information was utilized to exschool was effective and effic Governing Authority display understanding of the school's	ved. t explain how the valuate whether the ient. ved a limited	 2 - 3 Actions were provided. 3 - 4 Resources were reviewed. Governing Authority utilized some of the information provided to them and evaluated whether the school was effective and efficient. Governing Authority displayed a moderate understanding of the school's performance. 	4 or more Actions were provided. 5 or more Resources were reviewed. Governing Authority used all information provided to them and evaluated whether the school was effective and efficient. Governing Authority displayed a comprehensive understanding of the school's performance.				
	Response from Application							
	Strengths Noted							

Challenges Noted

Explain how the Governing Authority evaluated the school's performance post COVID-19 pandemic between June 2021 and the present and how it will evaluate the school's performance in the future. Reference all of the resources, assessments, evaluations, and reports mentioned above that were available to the Governing Authority and how the Governing Authority utilized this information to evaluate whether the school was effective and efficient. Response should include current state of any learning loss from the pandemic and the short and long term plans to specifically address the loss.

	Does Not M	leet	Partially Meets	Meets	Score
	• 0 - 1 Actions were provided.		• 2 - 3 Actions were provided.	• 4 or more Actions were provided.	
	• 0 - 2 Resources were reviewe	ed.	• 3 - 4 Resources were reviewed.	• 5 or more Resources were reviewed.	
	 Governing Authority did not 	explain how the	Governing Authority utilized some	 Governing Authority utilized all 	
	information was utilized to eva	aluate whether the	information it was provided to evaluate	information it was provided to evaluate	
	school was effective and efficie	ent between June	whether the school was effective and	whether the school was effective and	
	2021 and the present.		efficient between June 2021 and the	efficient between June 2021 and the	
Governing	Governing Authority did not	explain how it will	·	present.	
	evaluate the school in the futu	ire.		 Governing Authority provided a 	
hority will	 Answer did not include curre 		explanation about how it will evaluate the	0 1	
luate after	learning loss from the pandem			evaluate the school in the future.	
pandemic	and long term plans to specific	cally address the		Answer referenced the current state of	
panaenne	loss.		current state of the learning loss from the		
	Governing Authority displays		l	the short and long term plans to	
	understanding of the school's	performance.	l' '	specifically address the loss and	
			, ,	adequately addressed how the learning	
				loss will be mitigated in the future.	
			Governing Authority displayed	 Governing Authority displayed a 	
			_	comprehensive understanding of the	
			performance	school's performance	
	Response from				
	Application				
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
	Strengths Noted				
	Juliania Moteu				
	Challenges Noted				

How Auth evalu the p

HIGH STAKES REVIEW RESULTS						
	Does Not Meet 0 Points	Somewhat Meets 2 Points	Partially Meets 4 Points	Meets 6 Points		Score
Review of Renewal Criteria		The School received a grade card of Meets in only one (1) applicable grade card component for the most recent school year	The school received a grade of Meets in multiple LRC graded components for the most recent school year.	The school received a grade of Meets in multiple LRC graded components for the most recent school year and outperforms at least three (3) of its five comparison schools		
	Strengths Noted					
	Challenges Noted					
				Total Score from	om Grade Card	Total Available Points
Academic Data Score		Total Points				48
Organizational and Operational Data Score		Total Points				10
Financial Data Score		Total Points			_	12

Overall Scoresheet

GENERAL APPLICATION SCORE				
			_	
EVALUATION PRIOR TO THE PANDEMIC	TOTAL POINTS	S AWARDED:	0	
EVALUATION DUDING THE DANIDERAGE				
EVALUATION DURING THE PANDEMIC	TOTAL POINTS	S AWARDED:	0	
LION CONTRAINS AUTHORITY MILL EVALUATE AFTER THE RANGEMIC				
HOW GOVERNING AUTHORITY WILL EVALUATE AFTER THE PANDEMIC	TOTAL POINTS	AWARDED:	0	

HIGH STAKES REVIEW					
REVIEW OF RENEWAL CRITERIA	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED:	0			
ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED:	0			
ORGANIZATIONAL/OPERATIONAL DATA	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED:	0			
KEY FINANCIAL MEASURES	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED:	0			

OVERALL SCORE - NEW SCHOOL SPONSORSHIP APPLICATION				
Section	Maximum Possible	Score		
General Application	30			
Review	6	0		
Academic	48	0		
Organizational/	10	0		
Key Financial	12	0		
Total Available Points	106			
Cut Score		79.5		
Total Score Earned		0		
Percentage Score		0%		